UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,679	03/11/2005	Thomas Felzmann	4518-0110PUS1	7223
	7590 09/17/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			XIE, XIAOZHEN	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/527,679	FELZMANN, THOMAS	
Examiner	Art Unit	

XIAOZHEN XIE 1646	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence	address
THE REPLY FILED 17 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.3 for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the filed periods:	ce, which places the 1; or (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final re Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). 	jection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate appropriate is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ropriate extension fee Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two mediling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entere (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplify appeal; and/or	
 (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendments. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 	ent (PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amend non-allowable claim(s).	ment canceling the
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9,18,19 and 21-26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 12,13,15 and 16. 	in explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal wi because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a br entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellan showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(t fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or at REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowed the condition for all conditions for a	
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	wante because.
13. Other:	
/Gary B. Nickol / Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1646	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claim amendment necessitates new ground of rejection (see the following), and therefore, is not entered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Independent claims 1, 19 and 21 have been amended to recite that exposure to LPS and IFN-gamma occurs over a period of 2-6 hours. The claim amendment necessitates new grounds of rejections using a secondary reference such as Kalinski et al. (J. Immunol., 1999, Vol. 162:3231-3236). Kalinski et al. teaches that dendritic cells (DCs) at the early stages of maturation (2 and 4 h) produced elevated amounts of IL-12, and that the ability to produce IL-12 was strongly down-regulated at later time points, e.g., 12 h after the induction of DC maturation (see Abstract). Kalinski et al. teaches that while the induction of IL-12 in respnse to LPS in combination with IFN-gamma was strongly up-regulated in immature DCs, the ability to produce IL-12 was abolished in fully mature DCs (pp. 3233, col. 2, under section "Mature DC are resistant to the bacterial cytokine inducers, LPS and SAC"). Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use DCs at the early stages of maturation (2 and 4 h), because Kalinski et al. teaches that such cells produced elevated amounts of IL-12 in response to the inducers, as compared to mature DCs that have lost the ability to produce IL-12. Thus, clearly a further full search and consideration would have to be made, as well as new grounds of rejection if the amendment were entered.